



E1831
JACC March 27, 2012
Volume 59, Issue 13



Quality of Care and Outcomes Assessment

IMPACT OF PUBLIC REPORTING AND 'OUTLIER' STATUS IDENTIFICATION ON PERCUTANEOUS CORONARY INTERVENTION CASE SELECTION IN MASSACHUSETTS

ACC Moderated Poster Contributions
McCormick Place South, Hall A
Monday, March 26, 2012, 9:30 a.m.-10:30 a.m.

Session Title: Systems Approaches to Improving Quality of Care
Abstract Category: 31. Quality of Care and Outcomes Assessment
Presentation Number: 1254-203

Authors: *James M. McCabe, Frederic Resnic, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA*

Background: Massachusetts introduced public reporting of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) outcomes in 2003. Four 'outlier' hospitals have since been publically identified based on discrepancies between annual predicted and observed in-hospital mortality rates following PCI. There is concern that public labeling of 'outlier' status may lead to operator risk-avoidance at those institutions thereby limiting access to appropriate care for some of their sickest patients.

Methods: Publicly available predicted mortality risk rates for all PCI-capable Massachusetts hospitals from 2003-2009 were collated. Shock and ST-segment myocardial infarction (STEMI) cases were reported separately from not-shock or STEMI cases consistent with state data. Prevalence-weighted expected mortality rates of all PCI cases per hospital were calculated. Analyses were performed with generalized estimating equations to account for nested and repeated measures.

Results: The prevalence-weighted mean expected mortality for all 129,676 PCI cases during the study period was $1.39 \pm 0.34\%$ ($5.3 \pm 2.1\%$ for all shock and STEMI patients, $0.61 \pm 0.18\%$ for all not-shock, not-STEMI patients). Adjusting for temporal trends, there was a mean 0.21% reduction in expected mortality risk among all PCI patients at 'outlier' hospitals following public identification, but this finding did not achieve statistical significance ($p = 0.058$, 95%CI -0.43 to 0.01). Irrespective of 'outlier' status, there was a 0.08% per year reduction in the predicted risk of all PCI patients in Massachusetts since the onset of public reporting ($p = 0.001$, 95%CI -0.13 to -0.03).

Conclusions: Using averaged expected mortality rates at each hospital as a surrogate for the illness-severity of its PCI population, we found a trend towards generally less ill PCI patients at 'outlier' institutions following public identification. These data suggest that risk-averse behaviors among PCI operators at 'outlier' institutions may be an unintended consequence of public reporting. There was also a significant temporal trend towards PCI in lower-risk patients across all hospitals in Massachusetts since the inception of public reporting of PCI outcomes.